

CONTRACT WITH PETERSEN LINE IS ASSAILED

Hon. A. Meighen Directs a Barrage of Verbosity at Proposed Agreement.

SUGGESTS USING MERCHANT MARINE

OTTAWA, Mar. 19.—(Canadian Press).—“The whole thing is built on a fallacy. It is unsound from the first word to the last and I cannot help but think that the government's only hope is to find for it a secluded cemetery at the hands of

So, in the house today, Right Hon. Arthur Meighen, opposition leader, attacked the Petersen contract. Mr. Meighen's speech was in continuance of debate in the resolution which precedes a bill, to approve the contract—a bill which

Mr. Meighen rose to crowded house and galleries. He argued that there could not be effective control of ocean rates except by a body on which the whole empire was represented. He charged the Premier

Mr. Meighen, taking the contract in detail, to bind Mr. William to carry Canadian goods on his ships at all. "There is not a syllable in the contract that compels him to do so," Mr. Meighen declared.

tion in paying \$12,000,000 to Sir William Peterson, why not use the 57 ships of the Canadian Government Merchant Marine? Those ships were being operated under a board appointed by the government. If that board were tied hand and foot, and shipping companies, rates

the merchant marine from the control of the board? It could be done by order-in-council, Mr. Meighen pressed, and the government could fix the rates. "If this government wants to reduce rates," continued Mr. Meighen "if it believes in all

exorbitant, that they are determined by a heartless corporation, & combine that ~~sweats and arranges~~ the industry of this country, who does it not take immediate control of its own ships and reduce the rates on fifty-seven instead of 100

Resumes Debate.
OTTAWA, March 19.—(Canadian Press).—H. Hon Arthur Meikhen, leader of the opposition in the House of Commons, resuming the adjourned debate on the Petersen ship sub-

of the contract because, he said, members "had been carried far over the waters of controversy" and it was advisable that they should know exactly what was before parliament.

Despite speeches by the premier

ple it was considering was one of examination of the contract by a committee. Mr. Meighen said the real principle the house was being asked to consider was the principle contained in the contract and none other.

had said that the resolution was to be accepted or rejected. Mr. Meighen continued, but since then he had altered his position "by a slow curve" and now said that all that anybody in the house was voting for was that he desired control of ocean

the government was prepared to change its policy to the left or right "provided it could save its precious existence."

Mr. Meighen declared that in spite of all the premier might say, the Clark amendment to the government

policy and the real question before the house was whether or not it would accept the principle of government control by subsidy as embodied in the Petersen report. The decision of the house must be reached on the first or second reading.

Mr. Meighen thought that all parties in the house would agree on some phases of the question. No one ever disputed that there was a coming line in North Atlantic mining in

Deny a Combine.
Andrew MacMaster, chairman of the special committee of the house which, two years ago, investigated shipping, interrupted to say that some shipping men denied that there was a combine. Mr. MacMaster

Mr. Vukitch said today that in the evidence, but that in any case he felt sure all parties would agree that there was an understanding about ocean rates, excepting those on grain and possibly flour and cattle. It would also be admitted that the

But, he continued, he did not think that the North Atlantic conference could entirely eliminate the element of competition. The conference system was not an evil in itself. Mr. Meighen said that it seen,

(Continued on Page Eight)

BANK CLEARINGS

For the week ending
Thursday, March 19:
This year \$3,919,122.95

A series of 15 small circular diagrams arranged in a horizontal row, showing the progression of a cell cycle from a single cell to a multi-cellular stage. The diagrams are numbered 1 through 15, with the number 15 appearing at the far right. The progression starts with a single cell (1) and shows various stages of division and growth, including the formation of a multi-cellular structure (15).

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and titles, including "The Hon. Mr. Justice" and "The Hon. Mr. Justice".

